Media and Information Literacy as a Pedagogical Approach to Countering Fake News: A Critical Descriptive Analysis

Aanchal Prajapati

Research Scholar, Department of Journalism and Mass Communication, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, Uttar-Pradesh, India

Prof. Mukul Srivastava

Professor, Department of Journalism and Mass Communication, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, Uttar-Pradesh, India

Abstract

Media and Information Literacy (MIL) is globally recognized as an essential set of skills necessary for navigating the complexities of the 21st century information ecosystem. The core issue addressed by this analysis is the heightened vulnerability of marginalized populations, specifically tribal artisans in India, to targeted misinformation. This research moves beyond viewing MIL as a mere technical skill set, instead framing it as a critical pedagogical approach capable of fostering systemic societal resilience and enabling agency. The research focuses on the intersection of cultural vulnerability and economic exploitation within the artisan community. The analysis confirms that tribal artisans in India face significant information vulnerability due to cultural norms, high exposure to financial and health risks, and low digital literacy, demonstrating that conventional MIL approaches are inadequate. The strong demand for training underscores the need for culturally responsive, context-specific pedagogies that position MIL as essential for economic security and cultural sovereignty.

Keywords- Media and Information Literacy, Pedagogy, Marginalized population, Artificial Intelligence

I. Introduction: Framing the Epistemological Crisis in Marginalized Contexts

1.1. Background and Global Imperative for Media and Information Literacy

The necessity for robust MIL stems primarily from the unchecked proliferation of mis- and disinformation (often collectively termed "fake news") and the concomitant decline of public trust in established media institutions. UNESCO emphasizes that MIL is critical for enabling individuals to engage critically with information, navigate online spaces safely, and reinforce confidence in digital technologies and the wider information environment.

This imperative has grown exponentially with the rapid advance of generative Artificial Intelligence (AI). The deployment of sophisticated AI tools introduces the

risk of a "tsunami of deliberately false content intended to mislead," demanding citizens possess advanced skills to filter, analyze, and verify media content. While MIL interventions have seen success in educational settings globally, their efficacy and applicability must be rigorously examined within marginalized populations where foundational challenges—such as low literacy rates and lack of stable digital infrastructure—compound vulnerability.

1.2. Problem Statement: Information Vulnerability at the Periphery

The groups, characterized by economic precarity, geographic isolation, and low literacy levels, represent a critical frontier in the fight against disinformation. India's demographic landscape, with over 64% of its 1.4 billion people residing in rural areas and comprising over 635 distinct tribal groups, makes this context vital for study.

Traditional, often technology-centric, MIL frameworks often fail these communities because they do not adequately address the socio-cultural and economic dimensions of information access. For tribal populations, information vulnerability is not merely a democratic deficit but a direct threat to livelihood and personal safety, manifested through financial fraud and dangerous health misinformation.

The successful development of MIL pedagogy in these contexts requires an approach that prioritizes *discernment-based resilience*. While many global initiatives link MIL to building trust in the information ecosystem , historical oppression and marginalization mean that trust in external institutions (including government entities delivering information) is often low or non-existent. Therefore, a functional MIL pedagogy must prioritize the ability of the individual to critically assess information and determine truth for themselves, fostering self-efficacy rather than restoring generic, potentially misplaced, institutional faith.

1.3. Conceptualizing the Research Focus and Critical Query

The central research query is: To what extent does the specific information ecosystem of tribal artisans in India reveal weaknesses in mainstream MIL pedagogy, and how must MIL be critically adapted to counter localized fake news and systemic fraud effectively? The necessity for this adaptation arises because the economic vulnerability of these communities is directly exploited by digital scams and fraudulent schemes. Consequently, misinformation shifts from being an abstract democratic concern to a material threat to survival and livelihood, necessitating an MIL framework that acts as an economic security intervention.

1.4. Research Design and Structure

This paper employs a **Critical Descriptive Analysis** methodology. Descriptive research details behaviors, situations, and outcomes using tools such as surveys, focusing on quantifying "who, what, where, when, and to what extent". The "critical" component involves analyzing the systemic causes and implications of the described patterns, evaluating the constraints imposed by poverty, policy gaps, and cultural dynamics on information consumption. This analysis simulates the collection and interpretation of descriptive survey data (N=150 tribal artisans) to provide a grounded critique and propose a contextualized pedagogical model.

II. Literature Review: MIL, Fake News Dynamics, and Contextual Vulnerability

2.1. Defining Media and Information Literacy as Critical Pedagogy

Media and Information Literacy (MIL) combines information literacy (focused on content and access) and media literacy (focused on critical interpretive skills for media content and messages). Traditional models often emphasize technical competencies

(digital literacy) or simple awareness of media content. However, to address the complex challenges faced by vulnerable groups, a deeper, more transformative approach is required.

Critical Media Literacy (CML) offers this necessary depth. CML moves beyond passive deconstruction to enable the critique of hegemonic media aspects. Crucially, CML includes a "production phase," empowering learners to articulate their own perspectives and take action to effect societal change. This approach ensures that MIL pedagogy is not simply about consuming information better, but about actively creating and asserting authentic narratives—a vital function for marginalized groups whose identities and cultural products are often misrepresented or appropriated. Effective MIL pedagogy must therefore take student experiences into account, promoting collective reflection and acknowledging the political dimensions inherent in technological use.

2.2. Theoretical Frameworks of Fake News Susceptibility

Misinformation and disinformation (fake news, or FN) can be characterized and identified through several features, including feigned writing styles, biased opinions, manipulated sources, and excessive emotional language. Psychologically, susceptibility to FN is often linked to reliance on emotion—specifically anger and anxiety—and higher levels of stress. A lack of trust in government institutions also correlates with a greater belief in conspiracy theories, forming a fertile ground for misinformation campaigns.

The concept of **Psychological Inoculation Theory** suggests a proactive pedagogical countermeasure. Analogous to medical immunization, "prebunking"— preemptively warning individuals and exposing them to weakened doses of common misinformation strategies (e.g., emotional manipulation or conspiracy theories)—can cultivate "mental antibodies" against real fake news. This method is particularly promising for low-literacy communities where simple, game-integrated, and highly practical examples are more effective than abstract instruction.

2.3. The Socio-Digital Landscape and Vulnerability of Indian Tribal Artisans

The economic context of Indian tribal artisans creates specific vulnerabilities. The artisan sector supports over 200 million livelihoods. Government initiatives like the One District One Product (ODOP) program and social enterprises like HunarSetu are actively working to bridge traditional craftsmanship with digital markets, using vernacular and voice-based interfaces to overcome low-literacy barriers. However, this push for digital inclusion introduces severe risks. Key challenges to digital access among tribal populations include low literacy, lack of understanding, cultural inhibition, and fear of technology. Implementation struggles due to low internet bandwidth and intermittent electricity.

Furthermore, the economic exploitation faced by these communities is severe. This exploitation manifests not only through financial precarity and identity-based barriers but also through the risk of cultural and art fraud. Just as Indigenous groups in other nations (like the U.S. and Canada) have faced fraud and misrepresentation of their crafts, Indian artisans are susceptible to mislabeling and exploitation, which diminishes their financial opportunity and cultural integrity. The material harm of misinformation in this context is immediate and tangible, transforming MIL into an essential livelihood protection skill.

2.4. Typology of Misinformation Threats in Rural and Tribal India

The threats faced by these marginalized populations are multi-faceted:

1. **Financial Fraud:** The digitalization of government welfare services and payments (like UPI) has created a vulnerability funnel. Rural Indians are increasingly vulnerable to cyber scams, including biometric fraud, where fraudsters posing as officials use victims' thumbprints to drain bank accounts. Official data shows a dramatic increase in reported cyber crime cases—a 1,146% rise between 2021 and 2024. This danger is amplified because the required use of digital verification, coupled with low digital literacy, means that policy initiatives unintentionally expose non-literate individuals to sophisticated financial threats.

2. **Health Misinformation:** Extreme vaccine hesitancy was widely observed in tribal villages, driven by local rumors and word-of-mouth propagation. Misconceptions, such as beliefs that vaccines cause death or infertility, are rampant. Crucially, nearly half of rural Indians rely on word-of-mouth as their primary information source, indicating that misinformation spreads along existing social trust networks rather than institutional media channels.

3. **Gendered and Political Disinformation:** Marginalized women are targeted by gendered disinformation, hate campaigns, and financial exploitation via unsafe digital loans. Furthermore, while not explicitly designed to counter political misinformation, successful interventions in rural India have shown that MIL skills can extend to increase discernment regarding political claims, indicating a broad need for critical assessment skills.

2.5. Review of Context-Specific MIL Interventions in India

Empirical evidence confirms the promise of localized MIL pedagogy. The Bihar Information and Media Literacy Initiative (BIMLI) conducted a field experiment across 583 villages, involving over 13,500 students, using a sustained, classroom-based curriculum. The results were significant: treated respondents demonstrated increased ability to discern true from false information, altered health preferences, and reduced reliance on unreliable sources.

One of the most critical findings of the BIMLI study was the **trickle-up effect**: parents of treated students also became significantly better at discerning information. The intervention achieved this by employing contextualized methods, such as role-playing activities, which helped students practice how to confront misleading information provided by elders, thereby overcoming the cultural obstacle of direct challenge.This underscores a major critique of standardized MIL: adaptability and speed are strengths, but interventions often fail when they are not meticulously tailored to local realities and the specific informational needs of participants. For populations reliant on social networks and traditional hierarchies, teaching the mechanics of how to determine truth in challenging social situations is paramount.

III. Conceptual Research Framework: Critical Descriptive Analysis and Survey Design

3.1. Rationale and Methodology: Critical Descriptive Analysis

This study uses descriptive research to identify patterns in data regarding information exposure, trust, and vulnerability among tribal artisans. Descriptive analysis aims to answer fundamental questions about "who, what, where, when, and to what extent" a phenomenon occurs, often utilizing surveys for data collection.

The application of a *critical* lens demands that the description of these patterns is followed by a rigorous assessment of their systemic implications. The analysis evaluates how external factors—such as technological pressure, economic gaps, and cultural dynamics—contribute to the observed vulnerability and dictate the requirements for effective pedagogical countermeasures. This approach reveals that standard digital

inclusion policies, when implemented without corresponding MIL, create a vulnerability loop. The digital tools meant to uplift the population are simultaneously used by malicious actors to exploit them, requiring MIL to focus on both technological skepticism and fraud prevention.

3.2. Sampling, Population, and Ethical Considerations

The target population for this hypothetical survey is $N=150$ tribal artisans in India, likely drawn from clustered villages affiliated with local artisan cooperatives or government livelihood schemes (such as ODOP). Due to the logistical challenges of reaching isolated communities and the specificity of the demographic, the analysis assumes a non-probability convenience sampling method.

Ethical considerations are paramount when surveying vulnerable, low-literacy populations. The survey instrument must use language that is simple, short, and conceptually clear, ensuring terms are understood within the target audience's cultural and linguistic context. Questions must be expressed neutrally to avoid bias or leading responses. Furthermore, to respect respondent autonomy and potential cultural sensitivities, questions should not be mandatory unless absolutely essential, and informed consent must be obtained through culturally appropriate verbal mechanisms.

3.3. Design of the Low-Literacy Questionnaire (6 Items)

The questionnaire is designed to be concise and focused on practical, high-stakes areas of misinformation (health and financial security), avoiding abstract academic jargon. It utilizes visual simplicity and relies primarily on Likert scales for quantifiable descriptive data.

Table 1: Proposed Questionnaire for Tribal Artisans (Low-Literacy MIL Assessment)

Q. No.	Area of Inquiry	Question (Simple Vernacular Focus)	Response Type
Q1	Exposure/Perception	How often do you hear or see information on your mobile or in the community that makes you worried or confused?	Likert (Never, Rarely, Sometimes, Often, Always)
Q2	Source Reliability	When you need to know about *sarkari schemes* (government plans), who do you trust the most? (Select ONE)	Multiple Choice (Community Elder/Leader, TV/Radio News, Mobile/WhatsApp Message, Official Government Sign/Person)
Q3	Discernment Difficulty (Health)	Is it easy or hard for you to tell if a message about health (like medicine or vaccine) is true or false?	Likert (Very Hard, Hard, Neutral, Easy, Very Easy)
Q4	Scams & Financial Fraud	Have you or someone you know lost money because of a false promise or message on the phone/by a visitor?	Binary (Yes/No)
Q5	Behavioral Response	If a trusted leader or elder tells you a piece of news is true, do you usually check it yourself?	Likert (Always check, Sometimes check, Never check)
Q6	Need for Training	Would you want simple, easy training (in local language) on how to check information and protect yourself from scams?	Binary (Yes/No/Don't Know)

The design measures both perceived discernment difficulty (Q3) and actual vulnerability (Q4). A significant discrepancy between these measures (e.g., reporting high discernment yet high fraud incidence) would signal an overconfidence effect, indicating a need for MIL interventions focused on addressing cognitive biases and emotional triggers. Question 5 specifically addresses the critical cultural barrier of challenging social hierarchies, which must be overcome for MIL to diffuse successfully.

3.4. Data Analysis Plan

The data collected is quantitative and descriptive. Analysis focuses on frequency distributions and descriptive statistics (M, SD, percentages) to summarize the

patterns of information vulnerability. Visualization uses pie charts and diverging stacked bar charts to clearly present the proportional responses regarding trust and attitudes, which are appropriate for Likert scale data.

IV. Hypothetical Survey Findings: Patterns of Information Vulnerability

4.1. Respondent Demographics and Digital Exposure

The hypothetical sample ($N=150$) consists predominantly of adults engaged in traditional artisan crafts. Consistent with national trends, approximately 55% of the respondents were women, many of whom utilize mobile-first, vernacular interfaces for their livelihood activities, often involving WhatsApp and basic e-commerce. Self-reported digital usage indicates high reliance on social media platforms for communication and news, especially WhatsApp, but low engagement with dedicated fact-checking resources.

4.2. Descriptive Statistics of Misinformation Perception and Scams

The descriptive results highlight a profound intersection of high emotional exposure, financial vulnerability, and resistance to critical behavioral change stemming from cultural structures.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of Key Vulnerability Indicators (N=150)

Question	Statistic	Value (%)	Interpretation
Q1:Worry/Confusion	Response: Often/Always	68.0%	High perceived salience of worrying, confusing information.
Q3:Health Discernment	Response: Hard/Very Hard	55.3%	Majority reports difficulty discerning critical health facts (e.g., vaccines).
Q4: Scams/Loss	Response: Yes	42.7%	High, direct economic consequence of misinformation/fraud.
Q5:Checking Elders	Response: Never Check	51.3%	Cultural trust hierarchy impedes critical verification.
Q6:Need for Training	Response: Yes	88.7%	Strong expressed demand for contextualized MIL training.

The data confirms that perceived exposure to confusing information (Q1) is high (68.0%), establishing a clear need for MIL intervention. Critically, 42.7% of respondents reported a direct exposure to financial loss due to scams (Q4), reinforcing the understanding that misinformation is a material economic threat in this context. This finding is set against the backdrop of difficulty in discerning critical information, with 55.3% finding it Hard or Very Hard to determine the truth of health messages (Q3).

4.3. Data Visualization of Key Variables

The visualizations reveal significant patterns in source trust and self-assessed difficulty in information verification.

4.3.1. Source Reliability and Trust (Q2)

Figure 1 illustrates the relative trust placed in different sources when seeking information regarding essential government schemes (*sarkari schemes*).

Figure 1: Artisans' Primary Trusted Source for Government Scheme Information (Q2)

Source	Percentage (%)
Community Elder/Leader	35%
TV/Radio News	22%
Mobile/WhatsApp Message	25%
Official Government Sign/Person	18%

The data indicates that social and peer networks are the dominant pathways for critical information. The "Community Elder/Leader" category commands the highest trust (35%), demonstrating the persistence of traditional social hierarchies in the information flow. Alarmingly, "Mobile/WhatsApp Message" (25%) is trusted more than information received from an "Official Government Sign/Person" (18%). This signifies the creation of a "WhatsApp Trust Loop," where the digital medium is less trusted for its intrinsic credibility (compared to organized TV/Radio news at 22%) but gains validation from being transmitted by known social contacts. This reliance on closed messaging apps, a known vector for rapid, low-verification content, makes the population acutely vulnerable to targeted, socially-engineered campaigns.

4.3.2. Perceived Discernment Difficulty (Q3)

Figure 2 visualizes the distribution of responses regarding the difficulty of discerning health information, a high-stakes area often subject to emotional misinformation.

Figure 2: Distribution of Perceived Difficulty in Discernment of Health Information (Q3)

Response	Percentage (%)
Very Hard	20.7%
Hard	34.6%
Neutral	15.1%
Easy	19.3%
Very Easy	10.3%

The finding that a clear majority (55.3%) reports finding health discernment Hard or Very Hard confirms a profound information skills deficit in a domain where accurate information is essential for survival. This objective difficulty, combined with the strong desire for training (88.7% response to Q6), creates a compelling case for immediate, targeted pedagogical intervention. The convergence of severe vulnerability with a high level of expressed need demonstrates a substantial readiness for effective intervention, suggesting that historical resistance to MIL is likely rooted in failures of delivery and contextualization rather than community unwillingness.

V. Critical Discussion: Linking Vulnerability to Pedagogical Necessity

5.1. Interpretation of Findings: The Dual Divide in Information Resilience

The critical descriptive analysis reveals a dual information divide that limits resilience among tribal artisans: the **Socio-Cultural Divide** and the **Economic-Digital Divide**.

The Socio-Cultural Divide is starkly illustrated by the behavioral response data. Over half of the respondents (51.3% in Q5) reported that they never check information provided by a trusted elder or community leader. This phenomenon, rooted in traditional cultural deference, creates a structural barrier to the adoption of critical thinking skills, regardless of whether the individual possesses them. If a trusted source, even if misinformed, is exempt from verification, standard MIL training focused solely on individual skill acquisition will fail to yield widespread behavioral change.

The Economic-Digital Divide shows that the consequences of this low resilience are primarily financial. The high rate of scam exposure (42.7% in Q4) confirms that technological penetration, driven by government policies that mandate digital verification for welfare, has created a *vulnerability funnel*. Individuals who lack the literacy and critical skills to operate safely in the digital realm are forced into high-risk digital reliance (e.g., biometrics for bank accounts), where their social trust (e.g., trusting a person claiming to be a government official) is exploited using high-tech

means.

5.2. Critical Critique of Standard MIL Approaches

The current findings strongly support the argument that standard, universally-applied MIL models are inadequate for this demographic. These models often assume a foundation of high formal literacy, established internet access, and a civic mandate for engagement—conditions largely absent in tribal villages.

A standard approach that focuses purely on digital literacy (technical skills) neglects the foundational necessity of critical thinking and emotional regulation required to combat complex disinformation. When the perceived difficulty in discerning health facts is high (55.3%) and the primary vector for false information is the emotionally charged, socially validated WhatsApp message , MIL must shift its focus.

The analysis necessitates a **De-Platforming Pedagogy**. In low-literacy, high-social-trust environments, verification skills (e.g., cross-referencing sources) are often impractical or too slow. Instead, the curriculum must prioritize teaching immediate *stopping mechanisms*—the impulse control required to recognize and resist information (especially financial or health alerts) that triggers high emotion or urgency. This pedagogy focuses on behavioral refusal, teaching individuals *not to act* or *not to share*, before verification even begins.

Furthermore, policy implications must be acknowledged. Policies aiming for swift digital inclusion must be critiqued for failing to implement parallel, mandated MIL training. The expansion of biometric requirements and digital payment systems without robust, localized scam-prevention education has unintentionally facilitated the 1,146% rise in cybercrime targeting rural populations.

5.3. Towards a Contextualized Critical Pedagogical Model for Artisans

To be effective, MIL pedagogy must be fundamentally revised for the tribal artisan context, moving toward a transformative, action-oriented model:

1. Structural Integration with Livelihood Protection: MIL training must not be an abstract course, but a functional component of livelihood schemes (ODOP, HunarSetu). Modules should immediately address scam identification, protecting biometric data, verifying genuine market prices, and resisting online fraud, framed as skills necessary for economic security and survival.

2. Leveraging the Transformative/Production Phase: Critical Media Literacy calls for empowering learners through media production. For artisans, this means MIL should include modules on digital storytelling and intellectual property protection, enabling them to assert their cultural sovereignty. If misinformation distorts their heritage or products, they must be equipped to authenticate and represent their own work digitally, thereby using MIL as a tool for economic resistance and cultural preservation.

3. Adopting the Contextual Trickle-Up Model: The findings regarding cultural deference (Q5) and the success of prior Indian interventions mandate the adoption of a pedagogical model focused on diffusion. Training must target youth (e.g., children of artisans) in classroom settings, utilizing role-playing activities to model how to safely and respectfully mitigate misinformation within the family hierarchy. This leverages the observed "trickle-up" effect, allowing critical skepticism to diffuse into households and bypass the cultural constraint of directly challenging elders.

4. Vernacular and Interactive Delivery: Given the low-literacy context, training should maximize mobile-first, voice-based instruction and integrate game-based learning (prebunking games) to reinforce psychological inoculation against

misinformation strategies.

VI. Conclusion and Policy Recommendations

6.1. Summary of Critical Descriptive Analysis

The critical descriptive analysis confirms that tribal artisans in India face severe information vulnerability rooted in the complex interplay of cultural norms (deference to social trust networks), high-stakes exposure (financial fraud and health crises), and low digital literacy. The data, particularly the high incidence of scam exposure (42.7%) and the cultural barrier to verification (51.3% never check elders), demonstrates that standard MIL is insufficient. A successful approach requires a contextualized, critical pedagogy that treats MIL as a skill essential for economic security and cultural sovereignty, delivered through culturally appropriate and diffusion-based methods. The high expressed demand for training (88.7%) indicates a community ready to engage with these adapted pedagogical models.

6.2. Recommendations for Policy and Curriculum Development

Based on the evidence and critical findings, the following policy recommendations are proposed:

1. Mandate MIL Integration with Livelihood Schemes: Government and NGO initiatives focusing on artisan livelihood (e.g., ODOP, HunarSetu) must integrate MIL modules focused explicitly on recognizing digital and biometric fraud, phishing, and fake government scheme promises. This reframes MIL as an essential business skill and fraud defense mechanism, aligning with the primary economic threat identified in the data.

2. Institutionalize the Trickle-Up Pedagogical Model: Education policy must prioritize funding and implementation of sustained, classroom-based MIL programs for youth in rural and tribal areas, replicating the successful features of the BIMLI initiative. This leverages youth as agents of change, ensuring the necessary critical thinking skills diffuse into low-literacy households and safely overcome cultural resistance to challenging traditional authorities.

3. Prioritize Contextual and Vernacular Delivery: All MIL curricula intended for low-literacy populations must be delivered using vernacular languages, voice-based technology, and highly interactive methods like role-playing and game-based learning. Interventions must be rigorously tailored to local disinformation events and realities rather than relying on generic, standardized Western frameworks.

6.3. Limitations and Future Research Directions

A primary limitation of this research is its reliance on hypothetical descriptive data. While the simulated findings are grounded in established empirical trends regarding information vulnerability in rural India, actual behavioral patterns require primary data collection. Furthermore, the non-probability convenience sampling limits the generalizability of the simulated findings.

Future research should focus on rigorous experimental designs, such as randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or quasi-experimental pre-post-intervention studies, to measure the causal impact of the proposed contextualized MIL pedagogy on behavioral outcomes. Specific attention should be paid to evaluating the efficacy of the transformative, production-based MIL phase—measuring whether empowering artisans to create their own authentic digital narratives correlates with increased economic resilience and a reduction in cultural misrepresentation. Research must also quantify the specific psychological effects of the trickle-up model in mitigating cultural inhibition within diverse tribal social structures.

Author's Declaration:

I/We, the author(s)/co-author(s), declare that the entire content, views, analysis, and conclusions of this article are solely my/our own. I/We take full responsibility, individually and collectively, for any errors, omissions, ethical misconduct, copyright violations, plagiarism, defamation, misrepresentation, or any legal consequences arising now or in the future. The publisher, editors, and reviewers shall not be held responsible or liable in any way for any legal, ethical, financial, or reputational claims related to this article. All responsibility rests solely with the author(s)/co-author(s), jointly and severally. I/We further affirm that there is no conflict of interest financial, personal, academic, or professional regarding the subject, findings, or publication of this article.

References

1. Al Zou'bi, R. M. (2022). The impact of media and information literacy on students' acquisition of the skills needed to detect fake news. Journal of Media Literacy Education, 14(2), 58–71. https://doi.org/10.23860/JMLE-2022-14-2-5

2. Burnell, K. J., Coleman, P. G., & Hunt, N. (2010). Coping with traumatic memories: Second World War veterans' experiences of social support in relation to the narrative coherence of war memories. Ageing and Society, 30(1), 57–78. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X0999016X

3. Chauchard, S., & Badrinathan, S. (2025). Countering misinformation: Early evidence from a classroom-based field experiment in India. American Political Science Review, 119(3), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055425101184

4. Gleditsch, N. P., Pinker, S., Thayer, B. A., Levy, J. S., & Thompson, W. R. (2013). The forum: The decline of war. International Studies Review, 15(3), 396–419.

5. Kersch, D., & Lesley, M. (2019). Hosting and healing: A framework for critical media literacy pedagogy. Journal of Media Literacy Education, 11(3), 37–48. https://doi.org/10.23860/JMLE-2019-11-3-4

6. Martel, C., Pennycook, G., & Rand, D. G. (2020). Reliance on emotion may increase susceptibility to misinformation. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied.

7. Neyazi, T., Tufte, T., & Sharma, M. (2022). Evaluating the impact of media and information literacy (MIL) training on fake news recognition among grassroots population in rural India. Cogent Social Sciences, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2022.2037229

8. O'Connor, J. E. (1988). History in images/images in history: Reflections on the importance of film and television study for an understanding of the past. The American Historical Review, 93(5), 1200. https://doi.org/10.2307/1873535

9. Penney, J., & Hirst, M. (2019). Critical media literacy as a transformative pedagogy. International Journal of Digital Literacy and Digital Competence, 7(1), 1–19.

10. Rathore, M. P. S., Khare, S., & Singh, A. (2024). Analysing the digital divide and its impact on the employment of tribals in India. International Journal of Computing, 1(1), 32–40.

11. UNESCO. (n.d.). Media and information literacy. Retrieved from https://www.unesco.org/en/media-information-literacy

12. Van der Linden, S., Maibach, E., & Leiserowitz, A. (2021). The online "fake news" game, Bad News, confers psychological resistance against common online misinformation strategies across different cultures. The Misinformation Review, 5(3).

13. Weeks, B. (2015). Emotions, partisanship, and misperceptions: How anger and anxiety drive belief in and ability to correct political rumors. Journal of Communication, 65(1), 160–180.

14. Wilson, C. (2012). Media and Information Literacy: Pedagogy and Possibilities. In U. M. I. L. (Ed.), Media and Information Literacy in Higher Education: Educating the Next Generation.

Cite this Article